# SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JULY 9, 2013 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

# S087569

# PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JUAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to September 3, 2013. The court anticipates that after that date, no further extension will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S089619

PEOPLE v. ALVAREZ (FRANCISCO JAY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Sarah J. Jacobs's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by July 29, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to July 29, 2013. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

#### S127119

PEOPLE v. GIVENS (TODD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Ronald F. Turner's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by February 1, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 3, 2013. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 164 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S142857

# PEOPLE v. DUNLAP (DEAN ERIC)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Jessica K. McGuire's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by mid-September 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to September 13, 2013. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 360 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

# S165195

# PEOPLE v. NAVARRO (ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

The application of appellant for relief from default in failing to timely file a request for extension of time to file appellant's opening brief is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard I. Targow's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 31, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 23, 2013. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 130 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

# S206945

BECK (JAMES DAVID) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General David M. Baskind's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 29, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to July 29, 2013. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S206963

CRUZ (GERALD DEAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General David M. Baskind's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 31, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 30, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

**S209927** B233189 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

WEBB (WILLIAM B.) v. SPECIAL ELECTRIC COMPANY, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to September 10, 2013.

S211742 A133821/A135626 First Appellate District, Div. 4 NORTH COAST RIVERS

ALLIANCE v. MARIN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 29, 2013.

S141080 PEOPLE v. CAMACHO (ADRIAN GEORGE)

Order filed

Appellant's "Request to File Late Brief and For Relief From Default" is granted.

S211364 CIOTTA (STEVEN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S211434 WALKER (ALVIN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District.

S211465 CLARKE (LEE KELLY) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

S211638

WILLIAMS (DONALD B.) v. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (VALENZUELA)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S211676

GRIBOVSZKI (THOMAS) v. COURT OF APPEAL, SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT (STANFORD UNIVERSITY)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District.

# S201790

# **GUILIN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN ANTHONY GUILIN, State Bar Number 225982, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEVEN ANTHONY GUILIN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 10 months of probation;
- 2. STEVEN ANTHONY GUILIN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on February 26, 2013; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEVEN ANTHONY GUILIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEVEN ANTHONY GUILIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

STEVEN ANTHONY GUILIN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S210236

#### **ROMAN ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT ROMAN, State Bar Number 93369, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROBERT ROMAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. ROBERT ROMAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 6, 2013; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT ROMAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROBERT ROMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2014, 2015, and 2016. If ROBERT ROMAN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

# S210239

# WANK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JORDAN MORRIS WANK, State Bar Number 29383, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JORDAN MORRIS WANK is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. JORDAN MORRIS WANK must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 5, 2013; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JORDAN MORRIS WANK has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JORDAN MORRIS WANK must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) JORDAN MORRIS WANK must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days,

respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S210242

# HONDA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MARSHA NOREEN HONDA, State Bar Number 100894, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. MARSHA NOREEN HONDA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and

MARSHA NOREEN HONDA must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S210244

# **HOBBS ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that VICTOR EDWARD HOBBS, State Bar Number 80191, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. VICTOR EDWARD HOBBS is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of two years of probation, and he will remain suspended until the following requirements are satisfied:
  - i. He makes restitution to the following payees (or reimburses the Client Security Fund, to the extent of any payment from the fund to the payees, in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.5) and furnishes proof to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles:
    - (1) Chan Jeong in the amount of \$9,455 plus 10 percent interest per year from September 21, 2012;
    - (2) Robert Meseer in the amount of \$4,900 plus 10 percent interest per year from January 26, 2011; and
    - (3) Corieann Marlow in the amount of \$7,500 plus 10 percent interest per year from 4/10/12.
  - ii. He provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. VICTOR EDWARD HOBBS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on March 11, 2013.

3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if VICTOR EDWARD HOBBS has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

VICTOR EDWARD HOBBS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his suspension and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

VICTOR EDWARD HOBBS must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# S210245

# LAWRENCE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ARTHUR GOOTKIN LAWRENCE, State Bar Number 29554, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ARTHUR GOOTKIN LAWRENCE is suspended from the practice of law for a minimum of the first three years of probation, and he will remain suspended until he provides proof to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law. (Rules Proc. of State Bar, tit. IV, Stds. for Atty. Sanctions for Prof. Misconduct, std. 1.4(c)(ii).)
- 2. ARTHUR GOOTKIN LAWRENCE must also comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on March 12, 2013, and as modified on April 5, 2013.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ARTHUR GOOTKIN LAWRENCE has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ARTHUR GOOTKIN LAWRENCE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.